

CR13-003262-F GN 14 09/26/2013

MAYS, WILLIAM CHARLTON

712275 DOB: 05/30/1959 SID:

ះប កំរ

MONEY LAUNDERING >=\$20

SID NO. 712275 INCIDENT NO. 1309261329 FBI NO. DPS NO. D.O.B. 05/30/1959 DATE OF ARREST: ARRESTING AGENCY: BOND: 10,000

COURT: 2141

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS. WILLIAM C. MAYS

CHARGE: MONEY LAUNDERING

STATUTE: TEXAS PENAL CODE SECTION 34.02

DEGREE: FIRST

IN THE NAME AND BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

The duly organized Grand Jury of Nueces County, Texas, presents in the District Court of Nueces County, Texas, that

WILLIAM C. MAYS, defendant,

on or between the dates of MARCH 22, 2011 and OCTOBER 16, 2012, and before the presentment of this indictment, in Nueces County, Texas, did then and there knowingly acquire or possess the proceeds of criminal activity, to-wit: proceeds that were generated from the commission of the offense of theft, and the value of said funds was \$200,000 or more,

against the peace and dignity of the State.

GN-Please Do Not Remove

CR13-003263-F GN 14 09/26/2013 MAYS, WILLIAM CHARLTON SID: 712275 DOB: 05/30/1959 SECUR EXEC OF DOC BY D

NO. 13-CB-3263-F

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS. WILLIAM C. MAYS

CHARGE: SECURING EXECUTION OF A DOCUMENT BY DECEPTION

STATUTE: TEXAS PENAL CODE SECTION 32.46

DEGREE: FIRST

IN THE NAME AND BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

The duly organized Grand Jury of Nueces County, Texas, presents in the District Court of Nueces County, Texas, that

WILLIAM C. MAYS, defendant,

on or between the dates of March 22, 2011 and October 16, 2012, and before the presentment of this indictment, in Nueces County, Texas, did then and there with intent to defraud and harm the persons listed below, by deception, to wit: said Defendant created and confirmed by words and conduct a false impression of fact that was likely to affect the judgment of said owners in the transactions and that the Defendant did not believe to be true; and said Defendant failed to correct false impressions of and fact that were likely to affect the judgment of said owners in the transactions, that said Defendant previously

created and confirmed by words and conduct, and that said Defendant did not at the time believe to be true; and said Defendant promised performance that affected the judgment of said owners in said transactions that said Defendant did not intend to perform and knew would not be performed;

On or about March 22, 2011, caused Diane Lechuga to sign and execute a document, to wit: a check in the amount of \$25,000.00 made payable to Mays Financial, which affected the property and pecuniary interest of the said Diane Lechuga;

And that on or about September 5, 2011, caused Judson Hall to sign and execute a document, to wit: a check in the amount of \$50,000.00 made payable to William C. Mays, which affected the property and pecuniary interest of the said Judson Hall;

And that on or about February 8, 2012, caused Marianne Sevier to sign and execute a document, to wit: a check in the amount of \$50,000.00 made payable to Mays Financial Group, which affected the property and pecuniary interest of the said Marianne Sevier;

And that on or about February 8, 2012, caused Jerry Sevier to sign and execute a document, to wit: a check in the amount of \$25,000.00 made payable to Mays Financial Group, which affected the property and pecuniary interest of the said Jerry Sevier;

And that on or about August 9, 2012, caused Kathleen Trial to sign and execute a document, to wit: a check in the amount of \$25,000.00 made payable to Mays Financial

Group, which affected the property and pecuniary interest of the said Kathleen Trial;

And that on or about October 16, 2012, caused Susan Morris to sign and execute a document, to wit: a check in the amount of \$50,000.00 made payable to Mays Financial Group, which affected the property and pecuniary interest of the said Susan Morris;

And all of said amounts were obtained, as alleged, as part of one scheme and continuing course of conduct, and the aggregate value of the property and pecuniary interest so affected was \$200,00.00 or more,

against the peace and dignity of the State.

FOREMAN OF THE GRAND JURY

CR13-003264-F GN 8 09/26/2013

MAYS, WILLIAM CHARLTON

SID: 712275 DOB: 05/30/1959

THEFT >=\$200K

SID NO. 712275
INCIDENT NO. 1309261325
FBI NO.
DPS NO.
D.O.B. 05/30/1959
DATE OF ARREST:
ARRESTING AGENCY:
BOND: /0.000 BY:

NO. 13-CR-3244-F COURT: 214th

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS. WILLIAM C. MAYS

CHARGE: THEFT

STATUTE: TEXAS PENAL CODE SECTION 31.03

DEGREE: FIRST

IN THE NAME AND BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

The duly organized Grand Jury of Nueces County, Texas, presents in the District Court of Nueces County, Texas, that

WILLIAM C. MAYS, defendant,

on or about the dates listed below, and before the presentment of this indictment, in Nueces County Texas, did then and there unlawfully appropriate, to wit: acquire and exercise control over, property other than real property, to wit: current money of the United States of America, from the following owners, and in the following amounts:

OWNER	DATE OF	AMOUNT OF
	APPROPRIATION	APPROPRIATION
DIANE LECHUGA	03/22/2011	\$25,000
JUDSON HALL	09/05/2011	\$50,000
MARIANNE SEVIER	02/08/2012	\$50,000
JERRY SEVIER	02/08/2012	\$25,000

KATHLEEN TRIAL	08/09/2012	\$25,000
SUSAN MORRIS	10/16/2012	\$50,000

and said appropriations were without the effective consent of said owners in that consent was induced by deception, to wit:

- defendant created and confirmed by words and conduct false impressions of fact that were likely to affect the judgment of said owners in the transactions and that defendant did not believe to be true; and
- b. defendant failed to correct false impressions of fact that were likely to affect the judgment of said owners in the transactions, that defendant previously created and confirmed by words and conduct, and that defendant did not at the time believe to be true; and
- c. defendant acted with the intent to deprive said owners of said property by withholding said property permanently and for so extended a period of time that a major portion of the value and enjoyment of said property was lost to said owners, and by disposing of said property in a manner that made recovery of said property by said owners unlikely,

and all of said amounts were obtained, as alleged, as part of one scheme and continuing course of conduct, and the aggregate value of the property so appropriated was \$200,000.00 or more.

against the peace and dignity of the State.

OREMAN OF THE GRAND JURY

MAYS, WILLIAM CHARLTON

SID: 712275 DOB: 05/30/1959

FRAUD SELL SECURITIES

SID NO. 712275
INCIDENT NO. 1309261321
FBI NO:
DPS NO:
D.O.B. 05/30/1959
DATE OF ARREST:
ARRESTING AGENCY:

BOND: 10,000 BY:

NO: 13-CR-3265-F

COURT: 214世

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS. WILLIAM C. MAYS

CHARGE: SECURITIES FRAUD

STATUTE: SECURITIES ACT OF TEXAS SECTION 29C

DEGREE: FIRST

IN THE NAME AND BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

The duly organized Grand Jury of Nueces County, Texas, presents in the District Court of Nueces County, Texas, that

WILLIAM C. MAYS, defendant,

on or about the following dates listed below, and before the presentment of this indictment, in Nueces County, Texas, did then and there directly and through agents, sell and offer for sale promissory notes and Agreements issued by WILLIAM MAYS and/or THE MAYS FINANCIAL GROUP; being securities, to wit: promissory notes and investment contracts to each of the persons listed below, and in the following amounts:

<u>PURCHASER</u>	DATE OF TRANSACTION	AMOUNT
DIANE LECHUGA	03/22/2011	\$25,000
JUDSON HALL	09/05/2011	\$50,000
MARIANNE SEVIER	02/08/2012	\$50,000
JERRY SEVIER	02/08/2012	\$25,000
KATHLEEN TRIAL	08/09/2012	\$25,000
SUSAN MORRIS	10/16/2012	\$50,000

and said defendant committed fraud in connection with the sales and offers for sale of said securities by:

- (A) Intentionally failing to disclose that funds invested by investors would be used for purposes other than those intended, said information being a material fact; and
- (B) Intentionally failing to disclose that funds invested by investors would be used for the benefit of, and to pay the personal expenses of, William C. Mays, said information being a material fact; and
- (C) Intentionally failing to disclose that previous investors funds had not been used as promised, said information being a material fact; and
- (D) Intentionally failing to disclose that the assets of Mays Financial Group were pledged 100% to Accion Texas, a small business loan provider, as of January 28, 2009, said information being a material fact; and
- (E) Intentionally failing to disclose that on or about May 9, 2011, a Notice of Federal Tax Lien was filed by the Internal Revenue Service in the records of Nueces County, Texas, against William C. Mays and Celeste Robertson in the amount of \$42,924.56, said information being a material fact; and
- (F) Intentionally failing to disclose that on or about July 18, 2011, the defendant was the subject of a final judgment in Cause No. C-1-CV-11-000403, styled Randy Gram, on Behalf of Brendle Douglas D. & Lydia U Investment, LLC v. William C. Mays and Celeste Mays (aka Celeste Robertson) in Travis County Court at Law #2, in the amount of \$20,289.59, said information being a material fact; and
- (G) Intentionally failing to disclose the financial condition of The Mays Financial Group and the personal financial condition of William Mays, said information being a material fact; and
- (H) Intentionally and knowingly misrepresenting that investor funds were 100% insured against loss, said information being a relevant fact;

And all of said amounts were obtained pursuant to one scheme and continuing course of conduct, and the aggregate amount that was obtained was \$100,000.00 or more;

against the peace and dignity of the State.

FOREMAN OF THE GRAND JURY

FILED-FATSY PEREZ